

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,778	09/18/2003	Alain Goossens	2676-6085US	8721
24247 TRASK BRITT	7590 12/12/200	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550			KALLIS, RUSSELL	
SALILAKE	CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1638	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	/		12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	ontrol No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/666,778	GOOSSENS ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
	Anne Marie Grunberg	1661	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

•			
This is in resp	oonse to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	eview filed <u>10/31/07</u> .	
1. lmp reason(s)	proper Request – The Request is improper a	and a conference will not be held for	r the following
□ TI □ A	he Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu he request does not include reasons why a r proposed amendment is included with the P other:	eview is appropriate.	uest.
	period for filing a response continues to run that date of the last Office communication, if no N		of Appeal or from
held. The is required brief will brunning fro appeal bri	ceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Integraphication remains under appeal because to do submit an appeal brief in accordance with the reset to be one month from mailing this defend the receipt of the notice of appeal, which it is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based ince of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for th 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for ecision, or the balance of the two-mo ever is greater. Further, the time pe	appeal. Applicant or filing an appeal onth time period eriod for filing of the
Clair Clair Clair	The panel has determined the status of the cm(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: <u>20-21.</u> m(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-19.</u> m(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:	
Allowance	example application – A conference has been e will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re at this time.		
	open Prosecution – A conference has been I be mailed. No further action is required by		d a new Office
All participan	Mare Dely	(3)Robert Wax.	
(2) Russell Ka		(4)	
, ,		`	